

1 the Supreme Court has settled that matter, but I would  
2 prefer to have that stated explicitly in the Committee  
3 draft. Is there any reason why it is included in the  
4 first revised draft and not in the Committee draft?

5 MR. GENTRY: The reason it is not is because  
6 the style of the sentence. When you say, No person shall  
7 be restricted in the free exercise, you are not saying  
8 if they have no religion they are restricted.

9 MR. MARTINEAU: Many people argue this permits  
10 people to practice any religion they want as long as they  
11 practice a religion and the language on the top part is  
12 much clearer in this respect.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: Now, we are beginning to debate.  
14 Let's not do that. May I point out to you that the only  
15 difference between the two Sections is that the second and  
16 third paragraphs of the revised draft is eliminated from  
17 the Committee draft and in place of it, there is one  
18 phrase.

19 The first sentence of the revised draft is pre-  
20 cisely the same as the first sentence of the Committee  
21 draft. The last paragraph of the revised draft is the same